

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,217		07/14/2003	Giuseppe Colombo	09728.0294US01	09728.0294US01 7019	
23552	7590	08/23/2004		EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903				DAVIS, OCTAVIA L		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2855		
				DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antion Comments		10/619,2	17	COLOMBO ET AL.				
Οπις	e Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit				
		Octavia [2855				
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with Any reply received	O STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provisions 'HS from the mailing date of this comment's specified above is less than thirty (3 by is specified above, the maximum stain the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 80) days, a reply within the state attractory period will apply and worwill, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) file	ed on						
,	` '	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.					
3)☐ Since this	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Cla	ims							
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-10 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. 1-10 is/are rejected. 1-10 is/are objected to. 1-10 are subject to restri	are withdrawn from co						
Application Paper	s							
9)∐ The speci	fication is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10)☐ The draw	ng(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b	☐ objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant	may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
•	ent drawing sheet(s) includin or declaration is objected t	=		ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
a)⊠ All b) 1.⊠ Ce 2.□ Ce 3.□ Co ap	dgment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: rtified copies of the priority rtified copies of the priority pies of the certified copies plication from the Internation	or documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Referer	nces Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summan	/ (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsp	erson's Patent Drawing Review (Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Discl Paper No(s)/Mail	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Date	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/619,217

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McDearmon.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, McDearmon discloses a bearing assembly with sensors for monitoring loads comprising a hub 12 integrally fixed to a radial flange 26, a measuring device M, 70 mounted on a non-rotating part 40 of a vehicle and operatively facing an essentially radial surface secured to the flange, detecting real time variations of the axial position of the surface due to elastic deformation of the flange caused by forces transmitted from the wheel to the hub flange (See Col. 4, lines 4 –11).

Regarding claim 2, the measuring device M, 70 is an optical device and the surface is an optically reflecting surface (See Col. 6, lines 32 - 38).

Regarding claim 5, the measuring device is arranged for carrying out said measuring operation in proximity of the peripheral zone of the hub flange (See Col. 8, lines 1-16).

Regarding claim 7, the measuring device M,70 is fixable to a non-rotating race 40 of the bearing 16 (See Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,217

Art Unit: 2855

Regarding claim 9, the measuring device M, 70 is connected to an electronic processing unit and recognizes a condition indicative of an impending loss of adhesion with the road (See Col. 7, lines 2 - 15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDearmon in view of Ouchi et al.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, McDearmon discloses all of the limitations of these claims except for a teaching that the measuring device includes an emitter means for projecting a light radiation onto reflecting surface and a receiver means for receiving the light radiation reflected back by the reflecting surface. However, Ouchi et al disclose a speed sensing rolling bearing unit comprising a measuring device 6 embedded in a synthetic resin block 21, the device including a light emitter 22a and a light receiver 22b (See Col. 8, lines 37 – 48, See Fig. 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify McDearmon according to the teachings of Ouchi et al for the purpose of, reliably carrying out rotational speed detection and simple detection signal judgment in relation to a light receiver whose detection signal changes

Art Unit: 2855

based on the passing of a light transparent portion and a passing of a light blocking portion between the light emitter and the light receiver (See Ouchi et al, Col. 6, lines 16 – 22).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDearmon in view of Ouchi et al, as applied to claims 1 7 and 9 above, and further in view of Meeker et al.

Regarding claims 8 and 10, McDearmon and Ouchi et al disclose all of the limitations of these claims except for a teaching that the measuring device is connected to an electronic processing unit mounted on board the vehicle and set for automatically controlling, based on the deformation signals received from the measuring device, wearable members of a braking system for adapting their position to the position of a rotor brake rigidly connected to the flange of the hub. However, Meeker et al discloses a vehicle wheel bearing and wheel speed sensor comprising a bearing 10, a sensor 16, 20, 22 for a braking system (See Col. 2, lines 37 – 46), the sensor attached to a computer means 32 and a brake rotor 56 and bracket 30 (See Col. 4, lines 1 – 24).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify McDearmon and Ouchi et al according to the

Art Unit: 2855

teachings of Meeker et al for the purpose of, providing an improved vehicle bearing wherein a single bracket functions as a shipping shield and aids in reducing the number of needed parts and eliminating the need to discard a part after shipping (See Meeker et al, Col. 5, lines 20 - 27).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Birkestrand (6,355,996) teaches a motorized wheel hub assembly.

Bigley (5,740,895) teaches an integrated wheel end system.

Deane (5,129,741) teaches a hub assembly for a motor vehicle.

Denny, Jr. et al (6,532,666) teach a process for capturing a bearing race on a spindle.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Octavia

Davis at telephone number (571) 272 - 2176. The examiner can normally be reached on

Monday - Thursdays (9:00 - 5:00), Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz, can be reached on (571) 272 - 2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 – 9306.

P

OD/2855

8/14/04

EDWARD LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800